

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/722,013	11/25/2003	Jiong-Ping Lu	TI 35669	6979
23494	7590 12/01/2005		EXAMINER	
TEXAS INSTRUMENTS INCORPORATED			ERDEM, FAZLI	
	5474, M/S 3999			
DALLAS, TX 75265			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2826	
			DATE MAILED: 12/01/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary						
		10/722,013	LU ET AL.			
	omeo, icaen cammary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Fazli Erdem				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 S</u>	eptember 2005.				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 2-5,7-10 and 12-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 5,7-10 and 12-21 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 2 and 3 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 4 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Applicati	on Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Education of the Education is required if the drawing(s) is objected to be supported in the Education of the Ed	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/722,013 Page 2

Art Unit: 2826

DETAILED ACTION

Allowable Subject Matter

- 1. Claims 5, 7-10, and 12-21 allowed
- 1. Claims 4 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 2 and 3 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mitani (JP 60148163) in view of Janning (4,667,217) further in view of Malhi et al. (5,225,697) further in view of Lee et al. (6,509,601)

Regarding Claims 2 and 2, Mitani discloses a semiconductor memory device where in Fig 2, recrystallized polysilicon film 4, capacitor electrode 11, electrode 8, and insulating layer 10 are disclosed. Mitani fails to disclose recrystallized polysilicon layer being over the gate electrode, the recrystallized polysilicon/capacitor electrode configuration and the required silicide capacitor electrode. However, Janing discloses a two-bit vertically/horizontally integrated memory cell where in Fig. 3, recrystallized layer 38 is over gate electrode 35. Furthermore, Malhi et al. disclose DRAM cell and method where in column 1 and Fig. 2 the required recrystallized polysilicon/capacitor electrode

configuration is disclosed. Finally, Lee et al. disclose a semiconductor memory device having a capacitor protection layer and method for manufacturing the same where in claims 8 and 10, the required cobalt silicide capacitor electrode is disclosed.

It would have been obvious to one of having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the required gate electrode/recrystallized polysilicon layer, the recrystallized polysilicon and capacitor electrode relationship and the required silicide capacitor electrode in Mitani as taught by Janning, Malhi et al. and Lee et al. respectively in order to have a semiconductor device with increased reliability.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fazli Erdem whose telephone number is (571) 272-1914. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F 8:00 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan Flynn can be reached on (571) 272-1915. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/722,013

Art Unit: 2826

FE

November 27, 2005

NATHAN J. FLYNN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800 Page 4